

## New and “Modified” Nuclear Weapons

### Alliance for Nuclear Accountability Recommendations:

- Stop funding for expanded nuclear weapons production capacity, specifically the CMRR-Nuclear Facility at Los Alamos, the Uranium Processing Facility at Y-12 and the new Kansas City Plant, thus saving up to \$6 billion.
- Delete funding for the B61 Life Extension Program feasibility study until any future role in Europe is determined.
- Bar Life Extension Programs from introducing new military characteristics.
- Increase funding for dismantlement consistent with mandated reductions in the stockpile.
- Close the Nevada Test Site in consultation with the Western Shoshone Nation.

### Tri-Valley CAREs Additional Recommendations:

- Transition Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) out of the NNSA—administered nuclear weapons complex and shift focus to non-polluting/non-nuclear energy development global warming research, nonproliferation, environmental technologies and basic science programs.
- Remove ALL Category I and II Special Nuclear Materials (SNM) from LLNL by the end of 2010 and close out SNM processing and handling at LLNL.
- Prohibit Plutonium in the National Ignition Facility. Transition the NIF to the DOE Office of Science and end all classified science in the NIF. Require that NIF obey Cost Accounting Standards and pay its fair share of overhead costs.
- Prevent any future funding for new weapons-related facilities at LLNL- including an annex to the High Explosives Application Facility (HEAF) and a new Weapons Engineering Science and Technology (WEST) facility.

**Livermore Lab should be turned into a “green lab.”** The Nation is not well served by maintaining two huge nuclear weapons design laboratories (Livermore and Los Alamos). Instead, Congress should stop further weapons development at **both** weapons labs and cut Livermore loose from the nuclear weapons complex entirely. Transitioning Livermore from nuclear weapons design to non-polluting energy development, global warming research, nonproliferation and environmental technologies is a greater necessity, and a more realistic political possibility, than during past administrations. Livermore Lab already employs the right mix of physicists, other scientists, engineers, materials specialists and support personnel for these undertakings. Livermore Lab houses current programs in all of these areas, including global climate modeling. The remissioning of Livermore Lab could also provide a means for productively utilizing the Sandia, Livermore site, and its engineering expertise. Sandia, Livermore is located across the street from LLNL and is presently casting about for a purpose.

**Livermore Lab’s misplaced FY2011 budget.** The fiscal year 2011 Dept. of Energy budget request contains more than \$1.2 billion for Livermore Lab. Of that, more than \$1 billion is for nuclear weapons activities. The NIF funding is up (again). And, the Superfund cleanup gets the crumbs. The Livermore Lab Site 300 cleanup funding request is just under \$10.7 million, or less than 1% of the total. And, the Livermore Lab main site is slated to receive \$11.3 million, also about 1% of the Lab's total funding. Further, there are groundwater projects at Site 300 that were not even included in the budget request. And, the budget request also does not include needed funds to clean up the leading edge of the contaminated groundwater plume that has migrated from the main site into the community. Nor does it contain any of the monies that will be needed to address Bldg. 212 and other vital cleanup tasks at the Livermore Lab main site.

**For more information – Please contact Tri-Valley CAREs (Communities Against a Radioactive Environment) (925) 443-7148 or [www.trivalleycares.org](http://www.trivalleycares.org)**